10.13.15 HB4170 (Franz)



TOM LATHAM Congressman 4th District, Iowa

Washington Office: 2217 Rayburn Building Washington, DC 20515 202-225-5476 202-225-3301 Fax 1-866-428-5642 Toll Free

Ames Office: 1421 South Bell Avenue Suite 108A Ames, Iowa 50010 515-232-2885 515-232-2844 Fax

Clear Lake/ Mason City Office: 812 Hwy 18 East P.O. Box 532 Clear Lake, Iowa 50428 641-357-5225 641-357-5226 Fax

Fort Dodge Office: 1426 Central Avenue Suite A Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501 515-573-2738 515-576-7141 Fax

Internet: www.tomlatham.house.gov

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES

March 29, 2010

The Honorable Daryl Beall

Chairman

Senate Veterans Affairs Committee

The Honorable James Seymour

Ranking Member

Senate Veterans Affairs Committee

The Honorable Ray Zirkelbach

Chairman

House Veterans Affairs Committee

The Honorable Royd Chambers

Ranking Member

House Veterans Affairs Committee

Dear Members of the Iowa General Assembly:

I am writing to you at the request of my constituents Frank Reeves of Zearing, IA, and Jerry Bohr of Decorah, IA, who are concerned with an issue with regard to compensation provided by the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) to veterans with service-connected disabilities.

Federal law prohibits attaching, diverting or garnishing a veteran's service-connected disability payments. While the VA is generally prohibited from complying with state garnishment orders, in some cases state courts have nevertheless included disability compensation in the calculation of property disposition and support obligations under dissolution of marriage and child support determinations. Extreme hardship in complying with court-ordered payments has been reported for disabled veterans with little, if any, alternate source of income.

According to Mr. Bohr, the states of California and Indiana have passed laws prohibiting the use of VA disability compensation in such determinations by state courts, consistent with federal prohibitions on attachment. It is also my understanding that such legislation has been introduced or passed by at least one chamber in Arizona, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and perhaps other states. As you know, similar legislation has also been introduced in both the Iowa House (H.F. 2299) and Senate (S.F. 2144) and is currently under consideration by the relevant committees.

My constituents have asked me to pass along their hope that you investigate this matter to determine the appropriate response by the lowa General Assembly. I have enclosed letters I received from Mr. Bohr and Mr. Reeves describing the difficulties they have experienced.

Tom Latham

Sincerely

Member of Congress